

Counter Fraud Centre - Analytics & Research Team

\fraud and corruption tracker

2018 - Tameside



CFaCT - CIPFA Copyright 2018 23/11/2018

Practical, accessible tools to help organisations tackle fraud and corruption.

The CIPFA Counter Fraud Centre is expert-led and champions best practice. We are here to help you:

- prevent, detect and recover financial loss
- protect your organisation's reputation
- develop your team's skills

Visit: cipfa.org/counterfraudcentre to find out more. Or contact the team on: 020 7543 5600.



Introduction

The 2018 CIPFA Fraud and Corruption Tracker (CFaCT) survey examines the levels of fraud and corruption detected across the public services in the UK during the 2017/18 financial year. This report compares your organisation's survey data with others of the same type or tier. We are very grateful for your organisation's contribution and hope you find this report informative. The 2018 national report can be found at www.cipfa.org/cfact.

National Summary

Nationally response rates vary across the local authority tiers with the highest response rates coming from London and the counties. CIPFA estimates that fraud losses could be as high as £302m in the UK with the average loss per case being approximately £3,600. Local authorities report that the biggest area of fraud, in terms of volume, is Council Tax whilst Housing Tenancy Fraud is the area with the highest financial value.

Tier Summary

The response rate for Metropolitan Unitaries was 50%. Respondents reported 7,418 fraud cases with a value of £31.6m.

Contents	Page
Analysis of Types of Fraud	3
Top Four Types of Frauds by Value	4
Sanctions	5
Proceeds of Crime Act (POCA)	5
Structure of the Counter Fraud and Corruption Function Activity	6
Counter Fraud Resources	6
Fighting Fraud and Corruption Locally	7

CFaCT - CIPFA Copyright 2018 2 23/11/2018


Analysis of Types of Fraud*

	Value			Fraud Cases				Avg. Value per Case		
Types of Fraud	Tames	Tameside <i>Metropolitan</i> Unitaries		Tameside		Metro _l Unita		Tameside	Metropolitan Unitaries	
	£'k	% of the Total	Avg. £'k	% of the Total	Number	% of the Total	Avg. Number	% of the Total	£'k	£'k
Procurement	£19.6 k	34%	£15.3 k	1%	1	2%	1	0%	£19.6k	£21.2
Pensions	£16.6 k	29%	£0.6 k	0%	5	8%	0	0%	£3.3k	£2.8k
Adult Social Care	£11.0 k	19%	£4.6 k	0%	4	6%	1	1%	£2.7k	£3.64
Other Types of Fraud	£10.9 k	19%	£1,356.1 k	99%	55	85%	213	99%	£0.2 k	£6.4
Total	£58.1 k	100%	£1,376.6 k	100%	65	100%	216	100%	£0.9k	£6.4k
Other types of Fraud:										
Council Tax Frauds	£10.90 k	19%	£87.1 k	6%	54	83%	163	76%	£0.2 k	£0.5 F
Schools Frauds (excl. transport)	-	-	£0.2 k	0%	-	-	2	1%	-	£0.1 F
Disabled Parking Concession (Blue Badge)	-	-	£6.8 k	0%	-	-	18	8%	-	£0.4 F
Debt	-	-	£0.5 k	0%	-	-	1	0%	-	£0.7 F
Housing and Tenancy Frauds	-	-	£1,199.3 k	87%	-	-	23	11%	-	£51.6 k
Payroll	-	-	£7.2 k	1%	-	-	1	1%	-	£5.9 k
Insurance Claims	-	-	£13.1 k	1%	-	-	1	0%	-	£18.1 l
Welfare Assistance	-	-	£0.0 k	0%	-	-	0	0%	-	£0.3 l
Business Rates	-	-	£11.5 k	1%	-	-	1	0%	-	£18.8 I
Recruitment	-	-	£4.1 k	0%	-	-	0	0%	-	£29.6 F
Expenses	-	-	£0.1 k	0%	-	-	0	0%	-	£1.0 k
Economic and Voluntary Sector	-	-	£2.3 k	0%	-	-	0	0%	-	£27.3 k
Investments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mandate Fraud	-	-	£5.6 k	0%	-	-	1	0%	-	£7.4 F
No Recourse to public funds	-	-	£0.3 k	0%	-	-	0	0%	-	£3.9 F
Children Social Care	-	-	£0.1 k	0%	-	-	0	0%	-	£1.2 k
School Transport	na	na	£0.1 k	0%	1	2%	0	0%	na	£0.2 F
Manipulation of Data	na	na	na	na	-	-	0	0%	na	na
Other Fraud	-	-	£17.9 k	1%	-	-	2	1%	-	£8.6 k

^{*}Actual Figures. Please note that "0" indicates a figure too small to be shown whereas "-" indicates zero. Housing and Tenancy Frauds: Those authorities that do not hold housing stock have been excluded from the calculations. Totals and averages may not sum exactly due to rounding.

Top Four Types of Fraud by Value

Average £'k per case for Tameside compared to the tier average




The graph above shows the top 4 types of fraud average value per case for Metropolitan Unitaries. The bars for Tameside are dark purple with purple labels whilst the tier average is a lighter purple bar with black labels.

CFaCT 23/11/2018

Sanctions (excluding Housing Benefits Sanctions)

The inner circle of the graph represents the Metropolitan Unitaries Average, whereas the outer shows the figures for Tameside.




Proceeds of Crime Act (POCA)

	Tameside	Metropolitan Unitaries Average
Money been awarded by court through POCA, excluding HB/CTB (over the last three financial years)	£100 k	£29 k
Money actually received through POCA, excluding HB/CTB (over the last financial three years)	£0 k	£15 k

	Tameside	Metropolitan Unitaries Average	
	Number (%)	Number (%)	
Prosecutions	1 (20%)	4 (25%)	
Cautions	0	3 (19%)	
Disciplinary Outcomes	4 (80%)	3 (19%)	
Other Sanctions	0	6 (38%)	
Total	5 (100%)	16 (100%)	

The chart below shows the types of resources used by organisations in POCA investigations. In-house resources are the most common type of resource used nationally.


POCA Financial Investigations Resources (other than DWP)



Structure of the Counter Fraud and Corruption Function Activity

Describe your counter fraud and corruption resource

The chart below shows how organisations deliver their counter fraud and corruption resource. Nationally, this is usually delivered by a dedicated corporate team or by the internal audit team.



Counter fraud and corruption resources

The public sector fraud landscape has changed significantly over the last year with leaner operations, and for local authorities the introduction of the DWP's Single Fraud Investigation Service (SFIS) has seen a workload shift.


The survey results show that the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) investigation staff has increased slightly in the UK since 2016/17 and across the country organisations are planning to maintain current levels in the next few years. Nationally, nine organisations have no dedicated counter fraud resource and thirteen consider it not applicable, which is an increase from 2016/17. While a dedicated counter fraud function is not essential, we recommend organisations have a fraud response plan that enables allegations of fraud to be investigated effectively by skilled and professional investigators.

The survey results also indicate a variety of counter fraud and corruption resources being accessed. While organisations will define their resource requirements based on their specific needs, in our view it is essential that staff involved in the counter fraud function are professionally qualified.

Download the CIPFA Code of Practice on Managing the Risk of Fraud and Corruption at www.cipfa.org/counterfraudcode.

Counter Fraud Resources

FTEs at 31st March




Fighting Fraud and Corruption Locally


Fighting Fraud and Corruption Locally is the national counter fraud strategy. The Board and strategy are supported by the CIPFA Counter Fraud Centre.

These questions have been commissioned by the Fighting Fraud and Corruption Locally Board. Please refer to Section 7 of the CFaCT Questionnaire for further information regarding each heading in the graph.

In this graph, the grey area shows the average level of agreement for each question for All Authorities. The pink line shows the level of agreement for each questions for Tameside.









All of us in the public sector must be aware of the need to tackle fraud and to do so effectively we need to understand the extent and scale of the problem. We at the Local Government Association strongly support this initiative and encourage our member councils and others to participate fully.

Sarah Pickup,

Deputy Chief Executive, Local Government Association



Registered office:

CIPFA Business Limited, 77 Mansell Street, London E1 8AN T: 020 7543 5600 F: 020 7543 5700 www.cipfa.org

CIPFA Business Limited, the trading arm of CIPFA that provides a range of services to public sector clients. Registered in England and Wales no. 2376684

